

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNIZED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Palent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMESIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O Box 1450
Algrandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,763	12/12/2003	Kevin Neil Kirn	MFCP.108795	8725	
45809 7	590 11/27/2006	EXAMINER			
•	RDY & BACON L.L	BAUTISTA, XIOMARA L			
`	OFT CORPORATION) (AL PROPERTY DEPA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	BOULEVARD	2179			
KANSAS CIT	Y, MO 64108-2613		DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application I	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/733,763		KIRN ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			X. L. Bautista		2179				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	ication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Issions of time may be available under the provisions is ISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply epply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. tutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I vill apply and will ex cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION  nowever, may a reply be tire  pire SIX (6) MONTHS from  on to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	1			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>18 O</i>	ctober 2006.						
· —			action is non-	final.					
′==	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
- /,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · —	☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	r election requ	irement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🖂 '	The specification is objected to by the	e Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the	drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correct	ion is required i	f the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152:			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	s have been r	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents	s have been r	eceived in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the prior	ity documents	s have been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	n for a list	of the certified	d copies not receive	ed.				
		•							
Attachmen	• •								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO 049\	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10-940)	5)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Art Unit: 2179

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berque (US 7,003,728 B2) and Landress et al (US 2003/0191816 A1).

### Claims 1, 13, 18 and 28:

Berque teaches a system for sharing images. The system has a computer (messaging client) that has a graphical user interface (media viewer) for enabling a moderator (user with control) to send (transfer and load) one or more images to a plurality of participants (user without control) during an image-sharing session (abstract; col. 1, lines 66-67; col. 2, lines 1-45; col. 5, lines 53-64; col. 6, lines 1-5).

Berque does not teach that the objects are presented to the user without

Art Unit: 2179

control by parallel execution of independent image processing operations to convert the objects for viewing. However, Landress discloses a system and method for creating and delivering customized (converted) multimedia objects that can be executed in parallel (abstract; p. 2, par. 0025, 0029-0030; p. 4, par. 0052, 0054; p. 8, par. 0094-0096; fig. 5). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Berque's method of sharing documents to include Landress' teaching of parallel execution of independent image processing operations because users are allowed to process a number of objects having the same basic structure, wherein all objects may have the same content items or different content as appropriate; wherein the parallel execution of multiple objects is transparent to the user.

### Claims 2-3, 19-20, and 33-34:

Landress teaches a network-enabled chat client. Landress explains that the host site includes interactive functions engaging a plurality of users simultaneously logged in to the host site, such as bulleting boards, chat rooms, instant messaging, etc. (p. 12, par. 0131).

#### Claims 4, 5, 17, 21, 22, 35 and 36:

Berque teaches shared media objects may contain graphical images and/or digital pictures (col. 10, lines 21-32). Landress teaches the objects may contain still images and thumbnails (p. 2, par. 0029).

## Claims 6, 23, and 37:

Berque teaches a user interface having a public work area and a private work area, wherein the public work area enables users to control the shared object (col. 3, lines 30-54).

# Claims 7, 24 and 32:

Berque teaches an interface that enables a sender user to control selection and transmission of media objects for mutual viewing by others (abstract; col. 1, lines 66-67; col. 2, lines 1-15).

## Claims 8, 25, and 38:

See claim 1. Berque/Landress teaches a media viewer integrated with the messaging client (Berque: col. 5, lines 53-64; figs. 1-2; Landress: p. 12, par. 0131).

### Claims 9, 26, and 39:

Landress teaches a sending terminal and a receiving terminal, which may be a (media viewer integrated with the messaging client) personal computer or mobile devices such as PDAs and cellular phones (the media viewer is separate from the messaging client), (p. 9, par. 0106; p. 13, par. 0146).

### Claim 10:

Landress teaches media objects such as video and audio, (p. 9, par. 0106).

Claims 11, 12, and 27:

Berque teaches that an annotation object can be presented via the media

Art Unit: 2179

viewer (col. 13, lines 20-25), the annotation object having a sticker object and a pointer (col. 13, line 36-col. 14, line 32).

## . Claims 14 and 29:

See claim 1. Berque teaches that users may independently select a shared media object to view in the media viewer (col. 1, lines 42-46; col. 5, lines 53-64; col. 6, lines 1-36).

### Claims 15 and 30:

Berque teaches a graphical user interface wherein at least two users may select objects to synchronously view, independently of other users (col. 14, lines 1-32).

### Claims 16 and 31:

Berque teaches that media objects can be downloaded from the Internet (col. 12, lines 25-47). Landress teaches that media objects can be downloaded, loaded, or uploaded (p. 1, par. 0007; p. 2, par. 0030; p. 3, par. 0032; p. 6, par. 0075; p. 11, par. 0128).

### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to X. L. Bautista whose telephone number is (571) 272-4132. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8:00AM-

Art Unit: 2179

6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

X. L. Bautista

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2179

xlb

November 20, 2006